## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

JAKWAVIANE GOODSON, #2319109,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 6:23-cv-329-JDK-JDL

TERRY HUDSON, Jr., et al.

Defendants.

## ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Jakwaviane Goodson, a prisoner of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and was permitted to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

On November 6, 2023, Judge Love issued a Report recommending that Plaintiff's lawsuit be dismissed for failure to prosecute because Plaintiff had failed to comply with the Court's order to pay an initial, partial filing fee as required by the Prison Litigation Reform Act. Docket No. 15. A copy of this Report was mailed to Plaintiff, who received it on November 13, 2023, but did not file written objections or pay the required initial fee. Docket No. 16.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire

record and makes an independent assessment under the law. Douglass v. United

Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), superseded on other

grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from

ten to fourteen days).

Here, Plaintiff did not object in the prescribed period. The Court therefore

reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and

reviews his legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S.

918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the

standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law.").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case,

the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to

law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the

United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 15) as the findings of this Court. It is

therefore **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failure to

prosecute. All pending motions are **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 15th day of December, 2023.

JE**R**EMY**J**D. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE